

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,895 04/20/2001		04/20/2001	Young-Sik Park	678-615 (P9447)	1685
28249	7590	06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
DILWORT	H & BAI	RRESE, LLP	PHAM, BRENDA H		
333 EARLE	OVINGT	ON BLVD.			
UNIONDAI	LE, NY	11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			2664	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/838,895	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brenda Pham	2664				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appr r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	A <u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application).					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14-17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) <u>11-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority document		a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ition No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •					
•	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ved.				
Attachment	(e)						
_	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
. 4001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·,					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thakker (US 6,487,602 B1).

Claims 6 and 7, Thakker discloses a method for providing multimedia service, comprising the steps for multimedia information by a user of a mobile communication terminal; retrieving the multimedia information requested by the user from the web server; and displaying the multimedia information received, in response to the request, from the web server by wireless on a display as a moving image (see col. 5, lines 23-25 and figure 3).

Although Thakker fails to teach requesting the user to input a user ID for connection to the web server and allowing the user to access the web server if the input ID is identical to a user ID registered in the web server, these limitation is well known in the art. Thakker et al shows a web servers for e-commerce and inherent would include the use of passwords. In the alternative, though Thakker et al does not explicitly show the use of passwords by the web server it would have been obvious in view of the e-

Art Unit: 2664

commerce suggested by Thakker et al. E-commerce includes purchasing goods and services over the world wide web which the web server of Thakker et al serves. To ensure the goods and services are purchased or used by authorized users in the e-commerce environment, web sites serviced by servers include security measures including passwords associated with user identification to authenticate user. Hence, it would have been obvious to use password authentication in Thakker et al ensure authorized e-commerce transactions.

4. Claims 8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over THAKKER (US 6,487,602 B1), in view of FRYER (US 6,233,428 B1).

Claims 8, 10, 13, as explained in the rejection statement of claim 6 (parent claim), THAKKER discloses all claim limitations recited in parent claim. THAKKER does not teach wherein the web server is a security server, which stores security condition image data inputted through cameras established in areas of a particular place, and provided security condition image data of an area requested by the mobile communication terminal to the mobile communication terminal.

FRYER, in the same field of endeavor, teaches that a child care monitoring network integrates a centralized information dissemination system with live streaming video to increase parental involvement with child care workers and improve child care worker training, including provision for enabling parents to monitor their children during the workday over the Internet (see abstract and figure 1,2).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,895 Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implementing a child care monitoring system, such as that teach by FRYER, to enabling parents to view their children during the workday at child care centers and schools.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over THAKKER (US 6,487,602 B1), in view of LAPPENBUSCH et al (US 5,982,298).

Claim 9, as explained in the rejection statement of claim 6 (parent claim), THAKKER discloses all claim limitations recited in parent claim. THAKKER does not teach wherein the web server is a traffic information server, which stores traffic condition image data inputted through cameras established on a plurality of roads, and provides traffic condition image data of a road requested by the mobile communication terminal to the mobile communication terminal.

LAPPENBUSHCH et al, in the same field of endeavor, teach according to column 2, lines 1-80, a web server 26 of figure 1 which stores traffic condition image data inputted through cameras (14) established on a plurality of roads, and provides traffic condition image data of a road requested by users.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement a traffic information server, in TAKKER to provide real-time traffic information to the public via the internet.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,895 Page 5

Art Unit: 2664

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14-17 are allowed.

7. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: the prior art made of record fails to teach or fairly suggest in combination

wherein the video data, transmitted from a video server via the Internet, is stored in a

Video-On-Demand (VOD) contents database of a VOD server of a mobile switching

center, and then transmitted to the mobile communication terminal by wireless.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached on (571) 272-3134.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

June 18, 2005

Brenda Pham

Brends A. Pham

Application/Control Nu